WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	San	nuel Gall	egos-Espinoza	Case Number:	CR 11-8045-PCT-FJM	
	rdance v ablished		uil Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(Check one or both, as applicable.)	f), a detention hearing has be	een held. I conclude that the following facts	
	•	r and conv g trial in th	•	s a danger to the community	and require the detention of the defendant	
X	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
			PART I -	- FINDINGS OF FACT		
	` '		defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense ircumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is			
		□ a	crime of violence as defined in	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
		<u> </u>	in offense for which the maximur	m sentence is life imprisonme	ent or death.	
		□ a	n offense for which a maximum	term of imprisonment of ten y	vears or more is prescribed in	
		a c	felony that was committed after lescribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(the defendant had been con 1)(A)-(C), or comparable stat	victed of two or more prior federal offenses e or local offenses.	
	(2)	The offer state or lo	nse described in finding 1 was co ocal offense.	ommitted while the defendant	t was on release pending trial for a federal,	
	(3)	A period imprisonr	of not more than five years had nent) for the offense described in	s elapsed since the (date of n finding 1.	conviction)(release of the defendant from	
	(4)	reasonab	Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not this presumption.			
			Alte	rnative Findings		
	(1)	There is p	probable cause to believe that th	e defendant has committed a	an offense	
		fo	or which a maximum term of imp	risonment of ten years or mo	re is prescribed in²	
		□ u	inder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)			
	(2)	The defe condition	ndant has not rebutted the press will reasonably assure the app	sumption established by find earance of the defendant as	ding 1 that no condition or combination of required and the safety of the community.	
			Alte	rnative Findings		
	(1)		a serious risk that the defendant arance of the defendant as requi		ination of conditions will reasonably assure	
	(2)	No condi	tion or combination of conditions	will reasonably assure the sa	afety of others and the community.	
	(3)		a serious risk that the defendant ctive witness or juror).	will (obstruct or attempt to ob	struct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate	
	(4)					

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or

⁽c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Case 3:11-cr-08045-FJM Document 16 Filed 04/21/11 Page 2 of 3

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)

(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convir evidence as to danger that:
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States.
×	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cust Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcul to assure his/her future appearance.
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	The defendant lives and works in Mexico.
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
The d	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
In ad	dition:

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

³ "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 3:11-cr-08045-FJM Document 16 Filed 04/21/11 Page 3 of 3

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 21st day of April, 2011.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge